(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
CAMINER	*#ARY E	XAMIN
BRIAN WERNER PRIMARY EXAMINER	FIANW	
2.2 A South in 1999 and of all 1994 of the Sulfillia	., ., and oxaminor appould it	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	ne examiner will provide a wr	itten summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate		
Part III.		
See Continuation Sheet		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	FRAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part II.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6,19,25,32		
Claims discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	······································	
☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant	ant's representative)	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic		
Date of Interview: <u>21 October 2005</u>	Time:	
(2) Patrick D. McPherson (Reg: 46,255).	(4)	
(1) <u>Christopher L. Lavin</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>A</u>	<u> Allowed</u>
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	09/889,600	FRISCHHOLZ, ROBERT
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims as amended on 10/03/05 brought the applicant close to allowance; however, one of the independent claims was still not allowable. Therefore the examiner suggested an amendment which would put claim 32 in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to this change as well as several minor corrections all of which can be seen in the examiner's amendment.

BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER